# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:						
☐ BLACK BORDERS						
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES						
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING						
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING						
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES						
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS						
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS						
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT						
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY						

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/986,813	11/13/2001	Alistair William McLean	1263.2129	6204	
5514 75	90 08/12/2004	EXAM	INER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			HANNE, SARA M		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW TORK, I	10112		2179		
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)	- [de]	$\leftarrow$
a		Application No.	Applicant(s)	40/	
Office Antique Company		09/986,813	MCLEAN, ALISTA	AIR WILLIAM	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sara M Hanne	2173		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, maion. a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.	1
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a) <u></u> ☐	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.			
3)[	Since this application is in condition for al			e merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 (	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application	ation.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
-	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are rejected.				
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or alaction requirement			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 11/3/01 is/are: a)	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection t				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			1.04	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B		een received in this Nationa	i Stage	
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for		not received.		
`	See the attached detailed emod assert for				
A44.c	.4/a\				
Attachmer  1) Notice	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	·O-152\	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/11/02, 10/24/02.</u> .		IDS 11/19/02.	O-152)	
	rademark Office	****	<del> </del>		

Art Unit: 2179

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: the limitation "a preference for provider providing preference data" (Claim 2, line 25) appears to be a typographical error. The examiner believes the limitation is meant to be read "a preference provider for providing preference data" and treats the claim as such for examination purposes. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 5 and 15-17, 35, 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claims 5 and 35, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Regarding Claims 15 and 45, the alternative limitation "plurality of separate program modules or fitters." Is improper. See MPEP § 2173.05(h). Claims 16-17 and 46-47 are rejected upon their dependency on Claims 15 and 45.

4. Claims 17, 23-26 and 47 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Art Unit: 2179

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 1-18, 23-58 and 60-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Foster, US Patent 6211870.

As in Claims 1-2, 27-28, 31-32, 48-49 and 60-63, Foster teaches a user-interface apparatus, method and system for communicating with a network of processor-controlled machines to enable the user of the user interface to cause a processor-controlled machine to carry out a function ("a hand-held, portable device which can be used by a user to issue commands to a multimedia processing unit which the multimedia processing unit will recognize and result in

Art Unit: 2179

a predetermined change of performance", Column 4, lines 33-36) through a network (Figure 1), a receiver for receiving device description data provided by the processor-controlled machine to defining the functional capabilities of that processor-controlled machine (Figures 6-7 and corresponding text), a user interface element accessor for accessing user interface element data defining user interface elements that can be used to form a user interface (Figure 10, refs. 1052 and 1065), an associator for associating the functional capabilities in the received device description data with user interface elements defined by the user interface element data (Figure 10, refs. 1060 and 1065 and corresponding text) and a generator for generating user interface data defining a user interface using the user interface element data for the user interface elements associated with the functional capabilities defined by the device description data (Development Phase, Column 10 line 28 et seq.), a functional capability associated with two possible alternative user interface elements (soft key or programmable keys, Column 10, lines 62-63), providing preference data regarding user interface element preferences ("modify the shape and location of soft keys, ... change text labels, and otherwise edit the appearance of the screen object", Column 10, lines 61-64) and determining, using the user interface element option data and the preference data, the user interface elements to be used to represent the functional capabilities of a processor-controlled machine (Column 11, lines 8-13) and a functioner for carrying out a function ("a message which can be recognized by a multimedia processing unit as an instruction to change a particular setting of the multimedia processing unit.", Column 4, lines 48-50).

Art Unit: 2179

As in Claims 3 and 33, Foster teaches the preference provider is operable to provide preference data defining preferences of at least one of the user of the user interface apparatus and the supplier of the user interface apparatus (the user controls the development phase, Column 10, line 28 et seq.).

As in Claims 4 and 34, Foster teaches the preference provider is operable to provide preference data defining at least one of preferences for different types of user interface elements, preferences for the style of presentation of user interface elements and preferences for layout of user interface elements ("modify the shape and location of soft keys, ... change text labels, and otherwise edit the appearance of the screen object", Column 10, lines 61-64).

As in Claims 5 and 35, Foster teaches preference data defining preferences for different types of user interface elements such as button user interface elements and user interface element style preferences such as font to be used for user interface elements (Column 10, lines 50-64 and Figure 10, Ref. 1050, 1052).

As in Claims 6 and 36, Foster teaches the apparatus having a display for displaying the graphical user interface to a user (Figure 8).

As in Claims 7 and 37, Foster teaches a user interface data supplier for supplying generated user interface data to a user interface device (Column 12, lines 2-12).

As in Claims 8 and 38, Foster teaches the communicator operable to communicate with a number of different processor-controlled machines and the receiver operable receive device description data provided by any of different

Art Unit: 2179

processor-controlled machines and defining the functional capabilities of that processor-controlled machine (as in Figure 9, the TV and Cable data have been received).

As in Claims 9 and 39, Foster teaches the communicator operable to communicate directly with a processor-controlled machine (Column 11, lines 63-67).

As in Claims 10 and 40, Foster teaches the communicator operable to communicate with a processor-controlled machine via a network to which the processor-controlled machine is coupled (Figure 1).

As in Claims 11 and 41, Foster teaches the receiver operable to receive device description data via the communicating means (Figures 5-6 and corresponding text).

As in Claims 12, 23-24, 26 and 42, Foster teaches the receiver operable to receive device description data directly from a processor-controlled machine (Figures 5-6 and corresponding text) or a network of processor-controlled machines (TV and Cable).

As in Claims 13, 25, 30, 43 and 51 Foster teaches the receiver operable to access device description data using a look-up service provided by a network to which the processor-controlled machine is coupled (Column 8, lines 26-39).

As in Claims 14 and 44, Foster teaches the user interface definer is provided by a processor and associated memory storing a user interface application implementable by the processor (Column 4, lines 54-67).

Art Unit: 2179

As in Claims 15 and 45, Foster teaches the user interface application comprising several separate program modules (Figure 10).

As in Claims 16-17 and 46-47, Foster teaches the communicator, receiver, user interface element accessor, associator, and generator comprise respective different program modules (each have their own code associated with controlling that aspect).

As in Claim 18, Foster teaches a processor controlled machine for use with a user interface apparatus having a functioner for carrying out a function ("a message which can be recognized by a multimedia processing unit as an instruction to change a particular setting of the multimedia processing unit.", Column 4, lines 48-50), a machine communicator for communicating with the user interface device to enable the user of the user interface to cause the processor-controlled machine to carry out a function, and a device description data provider for providing device description data defining the functional capabilities of the processor-controlled machine (See Claim 1 rejection *supra*).

As in Claim 29, Foster teaches the user interface display provided by a separate user interface device and the user interface apparatus has a user interface data supplier for supplying the user interface data to the user interface device via the network (Figure 8 and the Transfer Phase, Column 11, line 35 et seq.).

As in Claim 50, Foster teaches the processor means comprises part of the user interface device (See Figure 8 and corresponding text).

Application/Control Number: 09/986,813 Page 8

Art Unit: 2179

As in Claims 52 and 55, user settable data handling parameter defining means having a parameter (ie. volume or channel) settable by a user (depressing the corresponding button) and data handling means for handling received data in accordance with at least one data handling parameter set by the user (function carried out by the multimedia processing unit under controls set by the remote).

As in Claims 53 and 56, the data handling means is operable to divert an incoming message so that the user is not made aware of the message (mute).

As in Claims 54 and 57, the data handling means is operable to send received data to a location determined by a parameter set by the user (corresponding multimedia processing unit currently being controlled by the interface).

As in Claim 58, a user interface modifying means for modifying the user interface in response to data identifying the availability of another processor-controlled machine (ie. the TV interface has been created and the Cable interface is begun as seen in the transition from Figure 7 to Figure 9).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2179

Claims 19-22 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Foster, US Patent 6211870. It appears that the "printer", "facsimile", "copying function" and "scanning function"s are inherently included in Foster teaching of "controllable multimedia processing units" because printers, fax machines, copiers and scanners are media transmission devices. Even if it is not, the limitation of controlling a "printer", "facsimile", "copying function" and "scanning function" is well known. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been to motivated to make such a combination because document processing controller interface would have been obtained.

Page 10

Art Unit: 2179

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar processor-controlled devices networked to controllable and customizable interfaces.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara M Hanne whose telephone number is (703) 305-0703. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am-4:00pm, off on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

smh